INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764 http://www.state.in.us/jurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701 Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

IN THE MATTER OF THE INDIANA)	TYTT TO
UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION'S)	FILED
INVESTIGATION OF MATTERS)	
RELATED TO THE FEDERAL)	CAUSE NO. 42500-S1
COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION'S)	CAUSE NO. 42500-S1
REPORT AND ORDER AND ORDER ON)	INDIANA UTILITY
REMAND AND FURTHER NOTICE OF)	REGULATORY COMMISSION
PROPOSED RULEMAKING IN CC)	
DOCKET NOS. 01-338, 96-98, AND 98-147)	

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") makes the following Entry in this Cause:

On November 12, 2003, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, d/b/a SBC Indiana ("SBC Indiana") filed its *Motion To Extend by Three Days the Time for All Parties' Multi-State Batch Cut Collaborative Reports* ("Motion"). The current deadline of December 16, 2003, for parties to report the status of an ongoing multi-state collaborative workshop to establish a batch hot cut process was set in the Commission's November 5, 2003 Prehearing Conference Order.

The Motion discusses the relationship between the SBC Midwest collaborative workshop, relevant to this Cause, and other multi-state collaborative workshops, and that the SBC Midwest participant states agreed to meet in Indianapolis on December 16, 2003, to review the final process and identify any remaining disputed issues. The Motion further states that SBC Indiana is hopeful that, given a few days after that December 16 meeting, the parties to this Cause will be able to provide the Commission with a joint status report on the collaborative workshop's progress. The Motion also indicates that SBC Indiana has made efforts to determine whether any other party objects to the Motion's requested relief, and that its efforts have not revealed any objection.

Having considered the Motion, the Presiding Officers hereby grant the Motion. On or before December 19, 2003, the parties, either jointly or separately, should file a report with the Commission that identifies the issues upon which the collaborative participants have reached agreement, as well as the issues that remain in dispute. Unless modified by this or another Entry, all requirements of the November 5, 2003 Prehearing Conference Order remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Judith G. Ripley, Commissioner	
dudith G. Ripley, Commissioner	
William A. Divin	
William G. Divine, Administrative Law Judge	
November 21,2003	
Date	
randakwhile acting for	
Nancy E. Manley, Secretary to the Commission	